

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/748,935	11/13/1996	SHIGEAKI IMAI	44085-32	1970
20277	20277 7590 07/20/2004		EXAMINER	
MCDERMOTT WILL & EMERY LLP 600 13TH STREET, N.W.			NGUYEN, THU V	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-3096			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3661	

DATE MAILED: 07/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
08/748,935	IMAI ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	(X
Thu Nguyen	3661	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 07 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the a condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Reque Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	application in st for Continued
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]	
 a)	al rejection. CTION. See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and t fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. T fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the fitmely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	The appropriate extension the final Office action: or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.	in
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:	
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE be	elow):
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);	,
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing issues for appeal; and/or	g or simplifying the
(d) \square they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected	l claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	y filed amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but doe application in condition for allowance because:	s NOT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues whice raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	h were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered or b) explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended	ered and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: 9-22 and 37.	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: 2-3, 5, 29, 34, 35, 38-40, 45.	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	
10. ☐ Other:	jerbu
	AKOLIVEAL

THU V. NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ln

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant does not address the objection to the specification set forth in the office actions issued on February 6, 2004 and July 14, 2003. The scope of claims 29, 38, 45 need further consideration.